

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
WWW.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	i	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
08/719,639 09/25/1996		09/25/1996	SHANE D. MATTAWAY	N0003/7013	9685	
23838	7590	02/12/2003				
KENYON & KENYON				EXAMINER		
1500 K STREET, N.W., SUITE 700 WASHINGTON, DC 20005				HSU, A	HSU, ALPUS	
				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
				2665		
			DATE MAILED: 02/12/2003			

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No. Applicant(s)						
Advisory Action	08/719,639	MATTAWAY ET AL.					
1	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Alpus H. Hsu	2665					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address					
THE REPLY FILED 05 February 2003 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.							
PERIOD FOR REPLY [check either a) or b)]							
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing date of the final rejection. b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).  Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).							
<ul> <li>1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.</li> <li>2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:</li> </ul>							
(a) they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);							
(b) ☐ they are not deemed to place the conflication in botton force for a great because the interest in the conflication in botton force for a great because the conflication in botton force for a great because the conflication in the conflicatio							
(c) ☐ they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or							
(d) ☐ they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.  NOTE:							
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):							
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).							
5.⊠ The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: See Continuation Sheet.							
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.							
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims we	(s) a)⊡ will not be entered or b) ould be rejected is provided belo	☐ will be entered and an w or appended.					
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:							
Claim(s) allowed:							
Claim(s) objected to:							
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-31</u> .							
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:							
8. ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is a) ☐ approved or b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner.							
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statemen	t(s)( PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	_ (llpmn. vgs					
10. Other:		ALPUS H. HSU PRIMARY EXAMINER					
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office							



Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: in GORDON reference, in claim 1, it does disclose the feature of routing email, fax and voice mail messages via Internet, which messages are inherently in packet formats and are inherently assigned with IP addresses for routing purpose as well known in the art in Internet Telephony communications field. As the term "dynamically", the examiner fails to see any significance regarding the claim limitation.